Acute effects of prolonged intermittent low-intensity isometric warm-up schemes on jump, sprint, and agility performance in collegiate soccer players
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INTRODUCTION

Warm-up is generally promoted as an ergogenic activity that is believed to assist athletes achieve their full physical potential in training or competition [1,2]. Warm-up raises body temperature, increases nerve conduction velocity, elevates muscle enzyme activity, and augments dilation of blood vessels that provide blood flow for better muscle oxidation during work. The aforementioned physiological mechanisms facilitate greater muscle force and power production [3,4], which are important in team sports requiring acceleration, deceleration, or change of direction [5]. Coaches use different warm-up conditions [6,7,8,9]. Recent studies suggest that aerobic warm-up and dynamic stretching are beneficial for sprint, jump, and agility tasks [1,6,8,10,11]. On the other hand, static stretching schemes with intensity at or above a point of discomfort or used with duration not longer than 45 s are scrutinized in performance settings for delivering detrimental effects [12,13]. Contrasting, a cyclic static stretch may seem to reduce the debilitative effects of static stretching [14].

Some coaches use complex training in warm-up sessions. Complex training involves a set of strength training exercise (isometric or dynamic) followed by a biomechanically similar plyometric exercise [15]. This concept is based on a phenomenon called post activation potentiation (PAP). PAP is defined as acute enhancement of muscular power output produced by performing a preload stimulus before an actual activity [16]. It is known that any previous muscle activity can trigger both PAP and fatigue mechanisms [17]. Contractile history of a muscle is said to have a positive effect on muscle performance, especially in terms of twitch contractions, rate of force development and explosive movements [18]. This improved muscular performance can be attributed to increased phosphorylation of myosin light chains and increased motor neuron excitability [15].
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ies revealed that use of heavy multi-joint resistance training with preload of 1–3 sets and 1–5 repetitions of 75–100% 1RM [19,20] or high velocity exercises with 1–3 sets and 3–5 repetitions of 10–40% of 1RM [21,22] are effective stimuli for PAP. In a similar vein, isometric conditions using single-joint exercises of 1–3 sets with 3–10 s of maximum voluntary isometric contraction (MVIC) 1–3 min prior to performance [23,24] or multi-joint isometric exercises of single or multiple sets [22,25,26] were found to produce PAP.

Most of the studies in loaded isometric warm-up schemes used a maximum or near maximum load. To the researchers’ knowledge, no studies have been performed on prolonged intermittent low-intensity isometric exercises in warm-up settings. Such a void in the current literature failed to explain possible mechanisms of isometric exercises as conditioning contractions on performance. Thus, the purpose of the present study was to compare the effects of different warm-up interventions on jump, sprint and agility performance in soccer players using a within-subject repeated measures experimental design. It was hypothesized that low-intensity intermittent isometric exercise as a preconditioning stimulus in warm-up would positively influence the jump, sprint and agility performance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects. Twenty-one healthy male college soccer players (age: 20.14 ± 1.65 years; body height: 179.9 ± 8.34 cm; body mass: 74.4 ± 13.0 kg; % body fat: 9.45 ± 4.8) with no reported injuries for the past six months agreed to participate in the study. The subjects had been consistently participating in regular soccer training sessions for a total of 10 hours per week and strength and conditioning training for 3 hours per week. Prior to experimentation, they were asked to refrain from strenuous training at least 48 hours and sleep at least 6-8 hours. Tobacco, alcohol and caffeine consumption were also prohibited. The participants were allowed a light meal at least three hours prior to each testing session. Fluid consumption in small amounts was also encouraged during the testing sessions. Players were told they were free to withdraw from the study at any time without penalty. Signed informed consent forms were collected from all players after a detailed verbal and written explanation of the experimental design, purpose of the study, testing protocols, research benefits and potential risks of the study. The study was approved by the ethical committee of Tuzla University and conformed to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki on human experimentation [27].

Procedures

The study was carried out at the Exercise Science Laboratory of the Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Tuzla University, from 8:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. for four experimentation sessions with 48 hours between each session. Figure 1 presents the experimental procedures of the study. On day 1, anthropometric data were collected. Body height was measured to the nearest 0.01 m with a portable stadiometer (Astra scale 27310, Gima, Italy). Body weight, body fat percentage and fat free mass were measured using a bioelectric body composition analyzer (Tanita TBF-300, increments 0.1%; Tanita, Tokyo, Japan). Then the subjects were randomly assigned to experimental warm-up treatments: no conditioning contraction protocol (NCC), dynamic stretching (DS), static squat (ST), and ST with an additional external load equal to 30% of bodyweight (ST + 30% BW). The randomization process continued until all the subjects had performed all the interventions.

All experimental protocols were preceded by a 5 min general warm-up (GW). In GW, the subjects ran at a preset pace which was equivalent to 12 circles around an 86 m circumference area (∼1000 m). They completed the first 4 circles in 30 s per circle (speed: ∼10.3 km/h). For the second 4 circles, 25 s per circle was required (speed: ∼12.4 km/h). 20 s per circle was encouraged in the last 4 circles (speed: ∼15.5 km/h). A 10 min rest period was provided between the end of the running and testing session. NCC was executed without any additional contraction exercises after GW.

DS was executed 1 min after the GW protocol. The DS consisted of 7 exercises performed in 7 min. Each exercise was performed for 2 sets of 20 s with a rest interval of 10 s between sets. The rest interval between exercises was 10 s.

In order to try to elicit PAP effects by prolonged intermittent low-intensity isometric exercises we used two protocols: static squat (ST), and ST with an additional external load equal to 30% of a subject’s bodyweight (ST + 30% BW). Both protocols were executed 1 min after the running protocol.

In ST, the subjects performed a squat position (5 times per minute) with a rest interval of 30 s in between squat exercises. Subjects were asked to sit down during the rest period. Knee angle for the squat was approximately 100°. The proper stature was checked and corrected by the examiner when needed. Similar procedures with ST were followed in ST + 30% BW with the subjects holding a barbell (20 kg) on their shoulders during the squat position (Figure 2.). An additional load was used to attain an external load equal to a subject’s 30% bodyweight. Both protocols lasted for 7 min.

After 2 min of an intervention, the participants performed performance tests that consisted of the countermovement jump test (CMJ),
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15 m sprint test and the modified agility test. Each test was performed twice with intra test rest period of 30 s and with a 1 min inter test rest interval. That means that the first of two CMJ tests was performed 2 min after an intervention, the first of two 20 m sprint tests was performed 3.5 min after an intervention, and the first of two modified T agility tests was performed 5 min after an intervention.

Measures

Vertical Jump

Countermovement jump (CMJ) was measured using a commercial portable device (OptoJump System, Microgate, Bolzano, Italy). Each subject performed two maximal CMJ jumps, allowing 30 s of recovery in between, according to the protocol described by Bosco et al. [28]. The first jump was performed 2 min and the second one 2 min and 30 s after one of the applied conditioning protocols. The highest score was used for analysis. The subjects were encouraged to jump as high as possible, starting at their own volition. The reliability coefficients (ICC and Cronbach’s alpha) of CMJ were fairly high in this study (.96).

Speed

In the speed test, the subjects performed two maximal 15 m sprint tests in an indoor parquet floor with a 30 s recovery between each. The first sprint was performed at 3 min and 30 s and the second one 4 min after one of the applied conditioning protocols. The best score was used for analysis. The starting position for this test was 1 m behind an automated timer (Speedtrap II, Brower Timing Systems, Draper, UT, USA). All the tests were performed for two trials with the best result recorded for analyses. The first trial was performed 5 min and the second one 5 min and 30 s after one of the applied conditioning protocols. The subjects were encouraged to complete the test as fast as possible starting at their own volition. The reliability coefficients (ICC and Cronbach’s alpha) of the test were fairly high (.86).

Agility

Agility was tested using a modified agility T test (Figure 3). In this test, an athlete sprints for 5 m, lateral shuffles to the left for 2.5 m, and proceeds to right lateral shuffling for 5 m. Then, the athlete shuffles to the left for 2.5 m and backpedals for 5 m. The athletes started 1 m behind the 5 m sprint distance at their own volition. Time was detected using Speed trap II, Brower Timing Systems, Draper, UT, USA. All the tests were performed for two trials with the best result recorded for analyses. The first trial was performed 5 min and the second one 5 min and 30 s after one of the applied conditioning protocols. The subjects were encouraged to complete the test as fast as possible starting at their own volition. The reliability coefficients (ICC and Cronbach’s alpha) of the test were fairly high (.86).

Statistical Analyses

Descriptive statistics (mean and standard deviation) were calculated for each test and for the each applied protocol. Data sets were checked for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and by the visual observation of normality plots. Reliability and validity were assessed with an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), Cronbach’s alpha reliability coefficients (α) and the coefficient of variation (CV). One-way repeated measures ANOVA was used to determine any significant difference in performance among the interventions. Effect size was established using partial eta squared ($\eta_p^2$). Bonferroni post hoc contrast was applied to conduct pairwise comparison between interven-
tions. Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using a commercial statistical package (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL; Version 14.0).

RESULTS

Mean and standard deviation (SD) of countermovement jump, speed, and agility in no conditioning and conditioning contractions schemes are presented in Table I. One-way repeated measures ANOVA revealed a significant difference in CMJ performance at F(3,60) = 10.2, ηp² = 0.337, p < 0.01. Post hoc analysis showed that CMJ after DS was significantly higher than NCC (p < 0.00) and ST + 30% BW (p = 0.004), while ST showed similar results compared to DS. CMJ at NCC was not significantly different compared to ST and ST + 30% BW. Also, no significant difference in CMJ was identified between ST and ST + 30% BW.

There was a significant difference in speed across interventions, F(3, 60) = 6.61, ηp² = .248, p < 0.01. Post hoc analysis showed that speed in DS was faster than NCC (p = 0.003) and ST (p = 0.018), but similar to ST + 30% BW. On the other hand, speed in NCC was not significantly different compared to ST and ST + 30% BW. No significant difference in speed was observed between ST and ST + 30% BW as well.

There was a significant difference in agility performance across schemes at F(3, 60) = 24.1, ηp² = 0.546, p < 0.01. Post hoc analysis showed that time in NCC was higher than DS (p < 0.001), ST (p < 0.001), and ST + 30% BW (p < 0.001). DS showed similar agility performance with ST (p = 0.472) and ST + 30% BW. The difference in agility between ST and ST + 30% BW was not significant. Figures 4, 5 and 6 depict differences of the CMJ, speed and agility performance in no conditioning and conditioning contraction schemes.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the present study was to compare the effects of different warm-up interventions on jump, sprint and agility performance in collegiate soccer players. In jumping, DS showed superior effects on CMJ than NCC and ST + 30% BW protocols. No significant difference in CMJ between DS and ST was observed. Although not statistically significant, prolonged low-intensity isometric protocols showed greater CMJ compared to NCC. The greatest gains in CMJ after DS can be attributed to higher body temperature that increased nerve conduction velocity, elevated muscle enzyme activity, and increased dilatation of blood vessels and blood flow to the activated muscles, which provided better muscle oxidation during work [3,4,29,30]. Additionally, DS has been suggested to elicit PAP by increasing the rate of cross-bridge formation [31], which enabled greater muscle force and power production. On the other hand, previous studies [6,8,11] supported low CMJ output after NCC. When comparing CMJ in DS with the ST + 30% BW protocol, it can be observed that higher CMJ height was achieved after the DS protocol. The ST + 30% BW protocol may have produced a fatigu-
Comparing loaded and unloaded low-intensity intermittent isometric protocols with a 30 s rest period may also facilitate PAP [36]. PAP with decreased exercise intensity [34,35]. Additionally, intermittent rest periods. 12 min can produce a significantly greater PAP effect than shorter et al. [33] reported in their meta-analysis that a rest interval of 8 to results of Lowery et al. [32], who reported that vertical jump height and power increased and peaked after 4 min. In addition, Gouvêa results of the current study are difficult to compare with other studies because of the difference in preconditioning contraction stimulus. The comparative effects of different preconditioning protocols on sprint performance were similar to those obtained in jumping performance. The DS protocol showed superior effects on sprint performance compared to NCC and ST. This is to some extent in accordance with the results of Fletcher and Jones [7], who reported enhancement in sprint performance after dynamic stretching compared to static stretching. The DS protocol resulted in relatively greater enhancement of sprint time than the ST + 30% intervention, but the difference was not statistically significant. It is possible that the ST protocol with an additional load of 30% body weight produced better neuromuscular stimulation than ST, which led to PAP after 3.5-4 min of preconditioning contraction. Another possible explanation is that PAP presented by ST only lasted for 2 min after warm-up cessation.

Preconditioning protocols for agility performance demonstrated significant differences between the NCC and other protocols. Although comparison of DS, ST and ST + 30% BW showed no significant difference in agility, it should be noted that DS produced the best results. This is probably due to the fact that a longer rest period enabled PAP. Existence of PAP after 4 min is in accordance with the results of Lowery et al. [32], who reported that vertical jump height and power increased and peaked after 4 min. In addition, Gouvêa et al. [33] reported in their meta-analysis that a rest interval of 8 to 12 min can produce a significantly greater PAP effect than shorter rest periods.

Intensity and rest interval are important factors in PAP. Lowery et al. [32] suggested that high-intensity workloads may prolong the duration of PAP. Other studies have reported a lower magnitude of PAP with decreased exercise intensity [34,35]. Additionally, intermittent protocols with a 30 s rest period may also facilitate PAP [36]. Comparing loaded and unloaded low-intensity intermittent isometric exercise suggests that ST produced lesser fatigue and its effects were observed after a shorter period of time compared to loaded ST. However, ST may have produced a lower magnitude of PAP after a longer period of time. On the other hand, loaded ST delivered higher PAP stimulation but needed a longer rest period to overcome fatigue.

The findings of the study failed to agree with the current literature involving various mechanical stimuli in PAP. Thus, there is a need for further studies incorporating prolonged intermittent low-intensity isometric exercises with different duration of muscular tension and different post-conditioning rest intervals. Other limitations included non-measurement of body temperature and quantification of muscle activity across interventions, which could help in explaining the underlying mechanisms of PAP and fatigue from experimental protocols. Also, performance tests were not administered in a randomized order, which failed to provide clearer information on how rest intervals affect performance. The hypothesis that low-intensity intermittent isometric exercise as a preconditioning stimulus can positively influence jump, sprint and agility performance was supported by the study.

CONCLUSIONS

Any previous muscle activity can trigger the mechanism of PAP [17]. Although PAP was not directly measured in this study, we can assume that isometric contractions in warm-up most likely influenced PAP and physical performance. However, its magnitude proved to be dependent on isometric load and rest interval. The hypothesis that low-intensity intermittent isometric exercise as a preconditioning stimulus can positively influence jump, sprint and agility performance was confirmed. The present study showed that prolonged intermittent low-intensity isometric protocols improved performance similar to dynamic stretching. This implies that such protocols for the warm-up session can be used as an alternative to dynamic stretching.
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